UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

/2006	Page 1 01 1
	FILED
	MAY - 8 2008
20VII	DESTRUCT COURT
7:11iom C	Haves

TO	: XII S DISTRIC	CT JUDGE / 🗖 U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	William Q. Hayes		
FR	OM: M. Cruz,		ED DATE: <u>5/7/08</u>		
CA	SE NO.: <u>07cv237</u>	3-WQH-CAB DOCUMENT FILED BY:	Chad McKinney, Pro Se		
CASE TITLE: McKinney v. Apollo Group Inc. et al					
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff's Reply To April Alcorn's Opposition To Plaintiff's Motion For					
Clerk's Entry Of Default					
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:					
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy			
	5.1 Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation				
	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper				
	5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.				
M	7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely				
	7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document				
	7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions				
	7.1	Missing table of contents			
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself			
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order			
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest			
		OTHER:			
		ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE	ate forwarded: 5/7/08 S JUDGE		
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:					
4	The document is to	o be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.			
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk's copy of this order on all parties.					
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers				
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1				
	TO ECOMITIMIC US.I		slage Hayes		
	Dated: 5-7 cc: All Parties	-08 By: <u>J&</u>			